COVINGTON & BURLING

1201 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2401 TEL 202.662.6000 FAX 202.662.6291 WWW.COV.COM WASHINGTON NEW YORK SAN FRANCISCO LONDON BRUSSELS 2/2942

TEL 202.662.5338 FAX 202.778.5338 CCORWIN@ COV.COM

JAN 6 2005

January 6, 2005

BY HAND

Surface Transportation Board Attn: Docket No. AB-167 (Sub-No. 1094)A 1925 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20423-0001 ENTERED
Office of Proceedings

JAN - 6 2005

Part of Public Record

Re: Docket No. AB-167 (Sub No. 1094)A - Chelsea Property Owners -- Abandonment -- Portion of the Consolidated Rail Corporation's West 30th Street Secondary Track in New York, NY

Dear Sir or Madam:

Enclosed for filing in the above case are an original and 10 copies of Friends of the High Line, Inc.'s Statement Regarding Plans for the High Line, Status of Petition To Reopen, and Certain Arguments Relating to Issuance of a CITU. Please file-stamp the enclosed copies of this document and return them to our messenger. Thank you very much.

Sincerely yours,

Carolyn F. Corwin

Carolyn F. Comi

Enclosures

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD



DOCKET NO. AB-167 (Sub-No. 1094)A

CHELSEA PROPERTY OWNERS – ABANDONMENT –
PORTION OF THE CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION'S WEST 30TH STREET
SECONDARY TRACK IN NEW YORK, NY

ORIGINAL

FRIENDS OF THE HIGH LINE, INC.'S STATEMENT
REGARDING PLANS FOR THE HIGH LINE,
STATUS OF PETITION TO REOPEN,
AND CERTAIN ARGUMENTS RELATING TO ISSUANCE OF A CITU

ENTERED
Office of Proceedings

JAN - 6 2005

Friends of the High Line, Inc. P.O. Box 1469 Old Chelsea Station New York, NY 10113-1469 CAROLYN F. CORWIN KIMBERLY K. EGAN Covington & Burling 1201 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 662-6000 (202) 662-6291

Part of Public Record

Attorneys for Friends of the High Line, Inc.

January 6, 2005

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

DOCKET NO. AB-167 (Sub-No. 1094)A

CHELSEA PROPERTY OWNERS – ABANDONMENT –
PORTION OF THE CONSOLIDATED RAIL CORPORATION'S WEST 30TH STREET
SECONDARY TRACK IN NEW YORK, NY

....

FRIENDS OF THE HIGH LINE, INC.'S STATEMENT
REGARDING PLANS FOR THE HIGH LINE,
STATUS OF PETITION TO REOPEN,
AND CERTAIN ARGUMENTS RELATING TO ISSUANCE OF A CITU

Friends of the High Line, Inc. ("Friends") submits this statement to advise the Board of Friends' position on the current plans for the High Line and to address the status of the Petition to Reopen Friends filed on August 16, 2002. In addition, since Forty Plus Foundation, in its Motion to Dismiss dated December 13, 2004 ("Forty Plus Foundation Motion"), and the 511 West 23rd Street Associates LLC ("511"), in its Motion to Intervene dated December 15, 2004 ("511 Motion"), have belatedly attempted to revive objections regarding issuance of a Certificate of Interim Trail Use ("CITU") in this proceeding, for the Board's convenience, Friends summarizes and updates several arguments it has previously made with respect to such objections.

A. Friends Endorses the City's Plans for the High Line.

Friends is pleased at the progress the City of New York has made in its efforts to achieve a settlement of the dispute in this proceeding and to develop a viable plan for preservation and reuse of the High Line. On September 22, 2004, the City, an agency of the

State of New York, and the railroads filed a Joint Statement, in which the State joined the City in seeking a CITU and the railroads supported the issuance of a CITU. On December 16, 2004, the Chelsea Property Owners ("CPO") filed a statement withdrawing its opposition to issuance of a CITU, citing a settlement it had reached with the City and other parties.

Friends continues to endorse the City's plan to preserve the High Line by converting it to trail use. The plan ensures that the line will be available if needed for future rail use and in the meantime develops public uses for the High Line that will help improve and enrich the neighborhood. Friends is working with the City to develop and implement a plan to achieve this goal. Among other things, Friends is working with a design team and architects to refine such a plan and is continuing efforts to ensure that there will be adequate sources of funding to carry out the plan.

B. Friends' Petition to Reopen Should Be Placed in Abeyance When a CITU Is Granted.

On August 16, 2002, Friends filed a Petition to Reopen this proceeding. This Petition focused exclusively on the consequences of demolition. Friends argued that, if demolition were to proceed, a new historic review must be conducted because, among other things, the High Line structure is now eligible for inclusion on the National Register of Historic Places. Friends also argued that, if demolition were to proceed, a new environmental study must be conducted, because the neighborhoods around the High Line have experienced an economic and cultural renaissance since 1989, and because demolition now would have environmental impacts not considered by the ICC in 1992. Because the railbanking agreement the City is negotiating with the railroads will result in preservation and reuse of the High Line, Friends believes that the Board need not consider the environmental and historic preservation issues that Friends raised in its Petition to Reopen at this time.

If, however, the request for a CITU does not ultimately result in railbanking and preservation of the High Line, and if abandonment and demolition of the High Line structure once again becomes a possibility, then the Board must consider the environmental and historic issues raised in the Petition to Reopen. Friends therefore believes the Board should hold the Petition to Reopen in abeyance, to be taken up in the event interim trail use fails to materialize or ends without a plan for further rail use, and final abandonment again becomes a possibility. The Board should also provide that, should railbanking fail or terminate, the parties will receive notice and Friends will be permitted to update, renew, and re-serve the Petition, as necessary.

The Board should hold the Petition in abeyance instead of dismissing it because the issues raised in the Petition are not mooted simply by the prospect of railbanking in the near term. The potential for future abandonment and demolition continues to exist. The obligation to reassess the risk that demolition would pose to historic structures and the environment does not dissipate with the passage of time. To the contrary, the "passage of time [or] changing perceptions of significance . . . may require" a new historical review, see 36 C.F.R. § 800.4(c)(1), and federal law imposes on the Board a "continuing duty to gather and evaluate new information relevant to the environmental impacts of its actions," Warm Springs Dam Task Force v. Gribble, 621 F.2d 1017, 1023 (9th Cir. 1980); see also Southern Oregon Citizens Against Toxic Sprays v. Clark, 720 F.2d 1475, 1480 (9th Cir. 1983). In addition, the Council on Environmental Quality advises that an environmental review "concerning an ongoing action more than five years old should be carefully examined to determine whether a supplement is needed." Clark, 720 F.2d at 1480; see also Council on Environmental Quality, Forty Most Asked Questions Concerning CEQ's National Policy Act Regulations, 46 Fed. Reg. 18026, 18036 (Mar. 23, 1981).

Even in the short time since Friends filed its Petition, the neighborhoods around the High Line have changed, and they will no doubt continue to change during the time the High Line is railbanked. For example, since Friends filed its Petition, the City's Landmarks Preservation Commission has announced the designation of the Gansevoort Market Historic District. *See* Attachment A to this Statement. In addition, in February 2004, the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation stated its opinion that the High Line meets the criteria for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, reversing that office's previous determination of non-eligibility, issued in 1989. *See* Attachment B to this Statement.

In addition, the art galleries in west Chelsea have continued to flourish and have achieved even greater artistic prominence. See, e.g., Blake Gopnik, For Art Lovers, A Chelsea Morning; New York Gallery Mecca Finally Makes Good on Its Promise, WASH. POST, Sept. 26, 2004. And the High Line itself is now described as a driving force for change, not just an accidental beneficiary. See, e.g., Richard Pyle, A Rusty West Side Trestle Has Architects Dreaming; High Line Called Key to Area's Revival, The Record, July 16, 2004. As The Record article observed:

The High Line . . . is now seen as part of the transformation of a long-neglected swatch of Manhattan. The 20-block stretch along 10th Avenue, below 34th Street, runs from the once-seedy Gansevoort meat-packing district – now a celebrity magnet – to the

The article notes that the art scene has expanded dramatically in the last two years:

About seven years ago, Manhattan's huge gallery scene, by far the largest in the world, moved almost en masse from booming SoHo to the far western reaches of Chelsea, by the Hudson River north of Greenwich Village. Art began to displace the neighborhood's body shops and taxi depots . . . This year the scene seems to have grown, if that's possible. It now takes two full days, morning to night, to visit just the best-known Chelsea galleries.

Hudson rail yards, which could become the site of a football stadium for the New York Jets and 28 million square feet of new office space.

Placing the Petition in abeyance is also appropriate because requiring Friends to re-file its petition in the event trail use either fails or ceases could prejudice Friends' ability to have these important issues heard. It appears that, as a procedural matter, any petition to reopen must be filed before the abandonment has been consummated and in any event no later than 15 days after the abandonment decision is served. 49 C.F.R. § 1152.25(e)(2)(i); CSX Transp. Inc. – Abandonment – Between Bloomingdale & Montezuma, in Parke County, IN, ICC Docket No. AB-55 (Sub-No. 486), Decision served Sept. 13, 2002. In addition, any petition to stay the effect of an abandonment decision must be filed 15 days before the "effective date of the abandonment authorization." Id. § 1152.25(e)(iii). Thus, in the event the railbanking plans fail or railbanking eventually terminates, Friends could have a very limited time within which to update and refile its Petition to Reopen before the abandonment becomes administratively final.

C. Recent Objections to Issuance of a CITU Are Without Merit.

Despite the City's remarkable success in bringing divergent interests together in support of its plans for public use of the High Line, two entities have recently articulated objections to issuance of a CITU. So far as we can tell, 511 (a property owner) is raising objections in an effort to win even more benefits than the City has offered as incentives for property owners to support a settlement. However, property owner objections are not a basis for denying a CITU. The other entity, the Forty Plus Foundation, is primarily interested in pursuing a feeder line application it filed recently -- apparently a last-minute effort to derail the City's plans. While Friends questions the timing, and also the financial viability, of the Forty Plus Foundation's proposal, those issues will be addressed in Finance Docket No. 34606.

Both the Forty Plus Foundation and 511 question whether railbanking is appropriate in an adverse abandonment proceeding, *see* Forty Plus Foundation Motion at 8-9; 511 Motion at 19-21.² Friends previously submitted a paper showing that railbanking is available in any sort of abandonment proceeding, including an adverse abandonment. *See* August 26, 2003 Submission. For the Board's convenience, we summarize and update the arguments we made previously on this point. We also address briefly Forty Plus Foundation's suggestion that the High Line will be severed from the national rail system.

1. Railbanking Is Available in an Adverse Abandonment Proceeding.

The Forty Plus Foundation asserts that railbanking "has never been available in an adverse abandonment proceeding," see Forty Plus Foundation Filing at 8-9. This is simply not true. The United States Supreme Court decision that held railbanking constitutional, Preseault v. ICC, 494 U.S. 1 (1990), involved an adverse abandonment. The petitioners in Preseault were two trustees of the Diocese of Vermont and a lodge of the Benevolent and Protective Order of Elks, all of whom represented adjacent land owners with potential reversionary interests in a railroad right-of-way. The petitioners sought a certificate of abandonment from the ICC. The State of Vermont intervened to oppose the abandonment and it, along with the Vermont Railway, requested that the right-of-way to be transferred to the city of Burlington for use as a public trail. Id. In denying the petitioners' request for a certificate of abandonment, the Commission noted that "[i]nevitably, interim trail use will conflict with the reversionary rights of adjacent land owners, but that is the very purpose of the Trails Act." Id. at 10 (quoting State of Vermont &

The railroads have apparently satisfied themselves that railbanking is appropriate in the case of an adverse abandonment proceeding. *See* Joint Statement, p. 4.

See State of Vermont & Vermont Ry. – Discontinuance of Service Exemption in Chittenden County, VT, 3 I.C.C. 2d 903, 904 (1987).

Vermont Ry. – Discontinuance of Service Exemption in Chittenden County, VT, 3 I.C.C. 2d 903, 908 (1987)). Neither the Court nor the ICC suggested that railbanking would be inappropriate in an adverse abandonment setting.

In recent decisions the Board appears to have recognized that the railbanking statute applies in adverse abandonment proceedings. For example, in *Yakima Interurban Lines Assoc. -- Adverse Abandonment -- in Yakima County, WA*, STB Docket No. AB-600, Decision served Feb. 13, 2004, p. 3, the Board in an adverse abandonment proceeding, stated that it would entertain a request to waive trail use procedures at a later time. In *Salt Lake City Corp. -- Adverse Abandonment of Line of Union Pac. R.R. Co. -- in Salt Lake City, Utah*, STB Docket No. AB-33 (Sub-No. 183), Decision served Dec. 14, 2001, p. 4, an environmental assessment in an adverse abandonment proceeding stated that a "request for a notice of interim trail use (NITU) is due to the Surface Transportation Board, with a copy to the railroad, within 10 days of publication of the notice of exemption in the Federal Register." The Board and its staff presumably would not make such statements unless they believed that railbanking is an option in an adverse abandonment case.

The conclusion that railbanking is appropriate in the context of an adverse abandonment proceeding is consistent with the relevant statute and regulations. The National Trails System Act, 16 U.S.C. § 1247(d), authorizes the Board to issue a CITU in any abandonment proceeding, so long as certain statutory prerequisites are met. The statute provides that, where these prerequisites are satisfied, the Board "shall not permit abandonment or

discontinuance inconsistent or disruptive of' interim use as a trail. 16 U.S.C. § 1247(d). The statute does not exclude any category of abandonment.⁴

The regulations implementing the railbanking statute also make no distinction between lines for which the railroad seeks abandonment and those for which another party seeks adverse abandonment. Indeed, as the Commission noted in a 1994 study that examined its responsibilities under various statutes, "[i]n every abandonment proceeding, the public is . . . given an opportunity to negotiate voluntary agreements to use the line as a recreational trail" Study of Interstate Commerce Commission Regulatory Responsibilities Pursuant to Section 210(A) of the Trucking Industry Regulatory Reform Act of 1994, 1994 WL 639996, at *31-32 (Oct. 25, 1994) (commenting on the railbanking statute).

Moreover, the policy considerations underlying the Board's authority to grant an adverse abandonment request are entirely consistent with the policy considerations underlying the railbanking process. In an abandonment proceeding – whether adverse or voluntary – the Board's responsibility is to determine whether abandonment or preservation for the purpose of potential transportation use is in the public interest. *See* 49 U.S.C. § 10903; 49 C.F.R. § 1152. The purpose of the railbanking statute is to keep rail easements available for potential future transportation use. Accordingly, the policy considerations underlying adverse abandonment and railbanking are compatible. ⁵ Indeed, because the railroad in an adverse abandonment proceeding

Moreover, there is nothing in the legislative history that suggests that Congress intended any distinction between voluntary and adverse abandonment proceedings with respect to the public interest in railbanking.

Even where the Board concludes that rail service is not currently economic, there is a public interest in preserving a line for future use, when economic circumstances may have changed. See Preseault, 494 U.S. at 19 ("Congress apparently believed that every line is a potentially valuable national asset that merits preservation even if no future rail use for it is (continued...)

is opposed to abandonment and instead wishes to keep a line within the Board's jurisdiction for possible future transportation use, railbanking is arguably more consistent with an adverse abandonment proceeding than with a voluntary abandonment proceeding.

In any event, so long as the statutory criteria for railbanking are met, Congress has already determined that preserving the line for future transportation use – as opposed to abandonment – is in the public interest. *See Preseault*, 494 U.S. at 6; *cf.* 49 C.F.R. § 1152.29(b)(1)(ii). No further public interest inquiry is needed.

2. The "Severance" Argument Is Without Merit.

At one point, Forty Plus Foundation suggests that the City intends to take action that will sever the High Line from the national rail system. *See* Forty Plus Foundation Motion, p. 9. Much of this argument appears to have no foundation in light of the City's current position in favor of preserving the High Line. To the extent Forty Plus Foundation is referring to the plans to build a stadium near the northern end of the High Line, it is by no means certain that these plans will come to fruition. There is still considerable dispute about the stadium, and it is uncertain whether any part of the High Line will ultimately be affected.

Even if the new stadium is eventually built, and a portion of the High Line structure must be torn down to accommodate that construction, there should be no severance of the High Line from the national rail system. As Friends understands the current plan, no part of the High Line structure will be taken down until the High Line easement has been relocated in a manner that would preserve a connection with the national rail system and the possibility of

currently foreseeable.") (emphasis added). A line constitutes a potentially valuable asset, regardless of the nature of the abandonment request.

resumption of rail service. We believe that relocation of the easement is part of the settlement the City has brokered.

Friends has previously explained that Board jurisdiction over a line will continue so long as there is an easement that provides a connection to the national rail system. See Friends of the High Line, Inc.'s Reply to Chelsea Property Owners' Petition for a Declaratory Order, Finance Docket No. 34259, Chelsea Property Owners - Petition for Declaratory Order, Highline, filed October 23, 2002, pp. 15-24. Authorities that support this conclusion include Docket No. AB-389 (Sub-No. 1X), Georgia Great S. Div., South Carolina Central R.R. --Abandonment & Discontinuance Exemption -- Between Albany & Dawson, in Terrell, Lee, & Dougherty Counties, GA, Decision served April 16, 1999, p. 6 ("[I]t is consistent with the common carrier obligation of a railroad for the carrier to sell the underlying assets of rail line while retaining an easement that is sufficient for carrying out rail operations."); Finance Docket No. 32764, State of Maine, Department of Transp. -- Acquisition Exemption -- Certain Assets of Belfast & Moosehead Lake R.R., Decision served Nov. 14, 1995; Maine, DOT -- Acquisition Exemption -- Maine Central R.R., 8 I.C.C.2d 835 (1991). A recent railbanking decision supports the view that a line may be railbanked so long as a replacement easement permits the line to be reconnected to the national rail system. See STB Docket No. AB-33 (Sub-No. 158X), Union Pacific R.R. - Abandonment Exemption - in McPherson, Ellsworth & Rice Counties, KS, Decision served Aug. 28, 2003 (granting extension of time to negotiate for railbanking of a segment after railroad donated property in response to Board's concern about whether the segment had been severed from the national rail system); id., Decision served Mar. 29, 2002, pp. 2, 3 (granting leave to submit evidence to show why segment continued to qualify for railbanking).

Because the High Line is currently connected to the national rail system, there is no obstacle to railbanking of the line. To the extent the Board may be concerned about the prospect of a future severance, the acquisition of a replacement easement prior to any removal of a portion of the High Line structure should address this concern.

CONCLUSION

Upon grant of a CITU for the High Line, the Board should hold Friends' August 16, 2002 Petition to Reopen in abeyance and provide that Friends may update and renew the Petition in the event that interim trail use fails to materialize or terminates at some future point and final abandonment and demolition are once again an option for the High Line. In addition, the objections raised by the Forty Plus Foundation and 511 regarding the propriety of a CITU should be rejected for the reasons described above and in Friends' prior filings.

Respectfully submitted,

Friends of the High Line, Inc. P.O. Box 1469 Old Chelsea Station New York, NY 10113-1469 CAROLYN F. CORWIN
KIMBERLY K. EGAN
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Ave, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004
(202) 662-6000
(202) 662-6291

Attorneys for Friends of the High Line, Inc.

January 6, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing are being served this 6th day of January, 2005, by first-class mail, postage prepaid, or hand-delivery, upon the following persons:

John Broadley John Broadley & Associates, PC 1054 31st Street NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20007

Scott N. Stone Patton Boggs, LLP 2550 M Street NW Washington, DC 20037

Joseph T. Gunn Senior Counsel New York City Law Department 100 Church Street New York, NY 10007

Anthony P. Semancik Metropolitan Transportation Authority 347 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10017

John F. Guinan New York Department of Transportation Albany, NY 12232

Elizabeth Bradford General Counsel New York Convention Center Development Corporation 655 W. 34th Street New York, NY 10001

Charles A. Spitulnik McLeod, Watkinson & Miller Eighth Floor One Massachusetts Avenue NW Washington, DC 20001 Peter J. Shudtz CSX Corporation One James Center 901 East Cary Street Richmond, VA 23219

Dennis G. Lyons Arnold & Porter 555 Twelfth Street NW Suite 940 Washington, DC 20004-1206

Robert M. Jenkins III Adrian L Steel, Jr. Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw 1909 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006

Jonathan M. Broder Consolidated Rail Corporation 2 Commerce Square 2001 Market Street Philadelphia, PA 19101-1416

Tomislav R. Neuman Executive Director Forty Plus Foundation & Manhattan Railway Systems, LLC 7 Monmouth Road - Suite 1 Oakhurst, NJ 07755

Michael A. Haskell 167 Willis Avenue Mineola, New York 11501

Carolyn F. Corwin

ATTACHMENT A



The New York City Landmarks Preservation Commission





News Release September 9, 2003

Contact: Diane Jackier (212) 669-7923

MAYOR MICHAEL R. BLOOMBERG AND LANDMARKS CHAIR ROBERT B. TIERNEY ANNOUNCE DESIGNATION OF THE GANSEVOORT MARKET HISTORIC DISTRICT

Historic District Will Unify The West Side Of Manhattan For The Next Century

Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg and Chair of the Landmarks Preservation Commission, Robert B. Tierney, announced today the designation of the Gansevoort Market Historic District. The Gansevoort Market is Manhattan's only tangible reminder of the late 19th- and 20th- century period when working piers dotted the lower Hudson River and indoor and open-air markets filled most of the West Side. Today, the Gansevoort Market Historic District survives as a vibrant neighborhood of meatpackers, retail



commerce, restaurants, offices, clubs, galleries and apartments.

The Gansevoort Market Historic District is the southern anchor of the City's plans for the Far West Side. The historic character, combined with its dynamic mixed-use environment, make it a destination for New York businesses and residents alike. It is also home to the southern tip of the High Line, the elevated rail line that runs from Gansevoort Street through Chelsea into the Hudson Yards. When the High Line is rehabilitated into a world-class park, the Gansevoort Market District will serve as its key point of entry.

"We are very excited by the critical role that the Gansevoort Market Historic District, with its unique sense of place and historic importance, will play in the development of the Far West Side," said Mayor Bloomberg. "This is just the first of several key projects, including the restoration of the High Line, the construction of Hudson River Park, and the development of the Hudson Yards, that form the core of the Administration's plans for the Far West Side. When completed, these projects will revitalize the West Side of Manhattan, forming a necklace of dynamic waterfront communities, each with their own unique assets."

The restored High Line will complement another important area asset, Hudson River Park. These two open space networks will allow New Yorkers to travel easily from the Gansevoort Market District to the Hudson Yards area. The Administration has made the redevelopment of the Hudson Yards, including the creation of new open space and additional commercial and residential space, a top economic development priority. The designation of the Gansevoort Market district is an important first step in achieving the Administration's long-term goals for the Far West Side.

The earliest buildings in the district are Greek Revival residences from the 1840s. Commercial development intensified by the end of the 19th century, when two municipal markets opened in the vicinity. After the Manhattan Refrigeration Company provided the underground infrastructure for refrigeration in the district in 1906, older buildings began to be converted to market uses. By World War II, poultry and meat packing had consolidated as the main commercial activity within the district. The district's street layout, with its distinct Belgian block paving, is shaped by the transition between the irregular grid pattern of Greenwich Village and the grid of the 1811 Commissioner's Plan, which provide for unusually large and open intersections and create unique public spaces, particularly where Ninth Avenue meets West 14th Street and Gansevoort Street.

"The Gansevoort Market Historic District's streetscapes tell the story of a neighborhood that has evolved over the last 150 years," said Robert B. Tierney. "Its unique sense of place is evident to anyone who walks through the district."

###

The Landmarks Preservation Commission is the New York City agency responsible for designating and regulating New York City's landmarks.

ATTACHMENT B

Bernadene Gr

2122069118

FRIENDS OF HI LINE

PAGE 02

PARKS PLANNING

Fax:212-360-3453

Peebles Island, PO Box 169, Waterford. New York 12188-0189

Oct 27 '04 17:11

P. 02

518-237-8843



February 20, 2004

Nathan Riddic Historian Allee King Rosen & Florning 117 Bast 29th Street New York, New York 10016-8022

RE: No. 7 Subway Extension-Hudson Yards Rezoning and Redevelopment New York County, NY

03PR00864

Dear Mr. Riddle:

Thank you for your very thorough documentation on the High Line. I have reviewed the documentation that you provided in accordance with the provisions of Section 14.09 of the New York State Historic Preservation Act of 1980.

Based on the new information on the High Line it is our opinion that the resource meets the criteria for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places. This reverses our previous determination of non-eligibility done in 1989 as part of the Route 9A project. The Resource Evaluation for the High Line is enclosed with this letter.

If you have any questions regarding this review, please call me at (518) 237-8643, ext. 3266. Please refer to the Project Review (PR) number noted above in any correspondence.

Sincerely.

Kathleen A. Howe

Historic Preservation Specialist

Kathlan A. Howe

enc: Resource Evaluation

Robert Doburskin, NYC Dept. of City Planning

Gina Santucci, LPC

Hollie Welle, MTA NYC Transit

An Equal Opportunity/Artermetive Addion Agency
Optobed on mayded poor

69118 FRIENDS OF HI LINE PAGE Fax: 212-360-3453 Oct 27 '04 17:12 P.03 _ _ ...



New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historia Preservation Historic Preservation Field Services Sureau
Peebles Island, PO Box 189, Waterford, New York 12188-0189

518-297-8840

RESOURCE EVALUATION

DATE: 2/20/04	·	STAFF: Kathy Howe
PROPERTY: The High Line		MCD: Manhattan
ADDRESS; vicinity of Tenth Ave. fr	om Gensevoort St.	
•	to W. 34 th St.	COUNTY: New York Co.
PROJECT REF: 03PR00864		USN: 06101.014509
the state of the s		as = 2 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 × 1 ×
 Property is individually listed of hame of listing: 	SR/NR:	
Property is a contributing comp name of district:	xment of a SR/NR district;	
II. Property meata etglbility criteri	e.	
Property contributes to a district	ot which appears to meet e	ligibility criteria.
Pre SRB: Poet SRB:	SRB deta	
Criteria for Inclusion in the Natio	nal Register:	
A Associated with events that have n of our history;	nade é significant contribut	ion to the broad patterns
B. Associated with the lives of person	e elgnificant in our past;	
C. Disposes the distinctive characteristic represents the work of a master; or algorificant and distinguishable entity	passess high artistic value	est or represents a
D. Have yielded, or may be likely to yi	leki information importem i	n prehistory or history.
High Line was a key component of adventages. The 1.45-mile steel and	Railroad in 1934 to repli the Lower West Side concreté viadust, aband	ace its on-grade Tenth Avenus tracks, the supparalleled commercial transportation oned since 1980, is located almost 30 feet lest 34th Street, roughly parallel to Tent:
twentieth-century industrial developm	ent. The High Une co rets. The general object	tion structure important to New York City: innected the industrial concerns along it dive of the High Line was to facilitate the industrial section of the city. The viaduc

An Equal Opportunity/Affirmative Action Agency

Quantitative market hours

Fax: 212-360-3453

Oct 27 '04 17:12 P.04

passed through or along many industrial buildings.

The rise of trucking in the 1950s led to a drop in rail freight on the High Line, and in the 1960s, the southermost portion, between Bank and Clarkson Streets, was torn down. In 1993, the southern section between Bank and Little West 12th Streets was demolished. In the early 1980s, the northern section of the High Line between west 34th and West 35th Streets was demolished for construction of he Jacob K, Javits Convention Center. Despite the removal of these sections, the High Line retains much of its historic integrity and is a visual reminder of one of Manhattan's important industrial transportation confiders.

Fax; 212-360-3453

FRIENDS OF HI LINE PAGE 05
Oct 27 '04 17:12 P.05
OS PROSSH



117 East 29th Street New York MY 10016 tel: 212 596-0570 fext 212 213-3191 www.qluf.com

Date:			
	January 27, 2004		100
To:	Kathy Howe	From: Phone:	Nathan Riddle 212-340-9765
Job Title:	No. 7 Subway Extension- Hudson Yards Rezoning and Development Program	.lob No.;	
Enclosed for w	our review is the requested do me if you have any questions	cumentation of	the High Line. Please do not
•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		

Fax:212-360-3453

Oct 27 '04 17:13

P. 06



PB Team

NYCT - Number 7 Extension Project 2 Broadway-6* Floor, Mailbox 5*8 Nate Yerk, NY 10004 Pex 646-262-2063

JAN 28 2004

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Kathy Howe, New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic

Preservation

FROM:

Nathan Riddle, Historian

DATE:

Jenuary 23, 2004

RE:

CM-1169R/C-26501- Preparation of a Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statement and Provision of Transit Engineering Services for the Propineed No. 7

Subway Extension-Far West Midtown Manhattan Rezoning

SUBJECT:

High Line Documentation

CIN:

MTA-NYCT/CM 1188R-C26501-00-C-1,00-NOP-04F-1968

As requested in your letter dated October 30, 2003, I have prepared a brief document regarding the section of the High Line that runs north of the National Register-eligible Gansevoort Market Historic District from West 16th Street through the No. 7 Subway Extension -- Hudson Yards Rezoning and Development Program Project Area, The discussion below provides a brief description of the High Line's route, it's appearance and structure, and alterations to it. The full length of the High Line between West 16th and West 34th Streets was photographed in November 2003. The original photographe, which are keyed to a map, are included as part of this document.

ROUTE AND BRIEF DESCRIPTION

The High Line is an unused reliroast viaduct on the west side of Manhattan that was completed in 1934 to carry freight on the New York Central Lines. It was built as part of the West Side Improvement Project that included removal of the alignede New York Central freight ratificed from West Street and Tenth Avenue, creation of a new railroad viaduot to minimize traffic conflicts at grade, and construction of the West Side Highway.

Between West 16th Street and West 34th Street, the High Line runs along Tenth Avenue until it turns west at West 30th Street to run along Twelfth Avenue (Route 9A) between West 30th and West 34th Streets (see Figure 1). Figures 2 through 23 contain captioned, scanned photographs of the High Line that are keyed to the map on Figure 1. The attached original photographs are also keyed to the map.

Between West 16th and West 16th Streets, the High Line passes through the National Biscuit Company's Tenth Avenue Bakery building at 78-92 Tenth Avenue. From the Bakery building, a spur crosses over Tenth Avenue to the former Marchants Refrigerating Company Werehouse at 99-111 Tenth Avenue, which was built in 1917 (see view 2 of Figure 2). After it leaves the Bakery building, the High Line moves north over West 18th Street, traversing the southwest comer of the block bounded by West 18th and West 17th Streets, and Tenth and Ninth Avenues (see view 1 of Figure 2). Just south of West 17th Street, it curves across Tenth Avenue, where there is a targe, aquarish platform over the evenue (see view 2 of Figure 2), to their run mid-block northward for thirteen blocks between Tenth and Elevanth Avenues to the former New York Central Railroad 30th Street Freight Yard.

Fax: 212-360-3453

Oct 27 '04 17:13

P.07



PB Team

NYCT - Number 7 Extension Project 2 Broadway-8" Floor, Medbox 518 New York, NY 10004 Pag: 648-252-5489

Streat—the viaduot has steel stanchions located in the sidewalks (see view 11 of Figure 7 and view 34 of Figure 18).

South of West 30th Street as the High Line passes over blocks supported on steel stanchions, it has a more utilitarian appearance with concrete parapets and simple tubular steel railings. North of West 30th Street, the loop track and the West 30th Street apur to the Morgan General Mail Facility present a more decorative appearance because they from on streets. Along West 30th Street, both the loop track and spur have a concrete parapet simply emamented with recessed panels and a tubular steel railing broken up with aquere concrete posts (see view 33 of Figure 18). The paneled parapet form is also used on a small section of the spur where it faces Tenth Avenue on the north side of the avenue platform (see view 29 of Figure 16). As it parallels Twelfth Avenue between West 30th and West 33rd Streets, the loop track viaduct uses the decorative steel parapet and railing forms used on the treaties to the south.

At West 33rd Street, the High Line changes appearance due to the early 1980s reconstruction (see below). The portion of the loop track that curves northeastward from West 33rd Street to parallel West 34th Street has a modern, concrete and steel beam and girder form, and it is supported on concrete abutments (see view 44 of Figure 23).

Interesting applied features on the High Line are two identical painted signs on the tresties over West 27th and West 28th Streets. Each sign reads "Central" with a curved arrow pointing downward to the block between West 27th and West 28th Streets (see view 18 of Figure 10 and view 21 of Figure 12). On the West 27th Street trestie, the sign is located on the east side, and on the West 28th Street trestie, the sign is located on the west side. The space under the visduct at this location is currently occupied by a recycling scrap yard. Based on apparent paint deterioration and text style, the signs appear to be historic, but a review of historic Sanborn Fine ineurance Maps from 1930, 1951, and 1976 did not reveal what the signs might have originally indicated, although an unapsolified 1-story appress depot/freight terminal was located at 303-309 Tanth Avenue between at least 1951 and 1976.

MAJOR ALTERATIONS

Except for rusting and spelling of some of the steel and concrete elements of the viaduct, the High Line appears to retain much of its integrity between West 18th and West 33rd Streets. Major visible alterations to the elevated rail line include the following:

- The former connection between the High Line spur at West 16th Street and the Merchants Refrigerating Company Warehouse has been seated. Although the opening has been infilled with mesonry, the spur still abuts the building (see view 2 of Figure 2).
- After a 1988 fire gutted the interior of the Morgan General Mall Facility, the building's
 connection to the High Line was broken as part of a restoration completed in 1974. The
 original opening in the building was sealed with masonry and a wide section of the rail
 spur over the sidewalk was removed (see view 28 of Figure 5-15). At this location, some
 of the spur's decorative parapet and railing was lost, and a non-original railing was
 placed at the spur's truncated edge (see view 29 of Figure 5-16).
- In the early 1880s, a section of the High Line between West 34th and West 35th Streets
 was demolished for construction of the Jacob K. Javits Convention Center. The High
 Line loop track originally traversed West 34th Street and then curved northeestward to

5 × 1

PARKS PLANNING

Fax: 212-360-3453

Oct 27 '04 17:14

P. 08



PB Team

NYCT - Number 7 Estension Project 2 Breadway-6* Floor, Matteux \$19 New York, NY 10004 Fac \$48-252-2953

parallel West 35th Street, eventually passing under Eleventh Avenue and connecting to the north-bound rail cut east of Tenth Avenue. At that time, the portion of the High Line between West 33rd and West 34th Street was rebuilt to its summent configuration that maintains the connection to the north-bound rail cut. The rebuilt section is structurally different from the rest of the viaduot, as discussed above. It is not known if the treate over West 33rd Street is original, but it is similar in appearance to the treate over Eleventh Avenue, which appears to be part of the original 1930s construction.

In addition, it is expected that openings in the Speer & Company Warehouse at 511-525 West 22nd Street to the former viaduct siding have been sealed because the former warehouse has been converted to condominiums, it is not known if the leading platform at the former R.C. Williams & Company building is intact.

MINOR ALTERATIONS

Minor removable alterations to the High Line include numerous strached billboards that are found on most of the treates between West 18th and West 30th Streats. In general, these billboards tend to be small and only located on one side of a treate, facing the direction of traffic on the one-way side streats. Numerous large billboards are strached to the platform over Tentin Avenus at West 30th Streat. The are two on the south side of the violuci, along with directional signs to the Lincoln Tunnel, and there are two on the west side of the platform overlooking east-bound traffic on West 30th Street. A large billboard is attached to the west side of the trastie over West 33th Street.

Barbed wire at the parapet is found at numerous locations along the High Line, aspecially where it abute low-rise buildings or traverses over 1-story infil structures. In some locations, chain link or solid metal fending is placed along the railings (see view 8 of Figure 5 and view 21 of Figure 12). In addition, numerous corrugated metal berricades are located on the track level to prevent people from walking along the vieduct (see view 23 of Figure 13).

North of West 20th Street, there are small 1-story infill structures under most sections of the High Line. A recycling scrap yard is located under the viaduct on the block between West 27th and West 28th Streets. By 1951, simall storage, garage, and repair shops were located under sections of the High Line, along with junk yards and auto periong. From the street, the existing infill buildings in general appear to have been constructed around the viaduct structural supports. In certain locations—on West 28th, 27th, 28th, and 28th Streets—the viaduct stanctions, and occasionally the platform structure, can clearly be seen within the Infill structures.

co: Robert Obbruskin, Director EARO, NYC Department of City Planning Emil Dul, NYCT Phil Plotoh, MTA J. Brown, PB Team C. Allee, PB Team Files

Fax: 212-360-3453

Oct 27 '04 17:15

P.09



PS Team

NYCT — Number 7 Exameten Project 2 Broadway-5" Hour, Melibox \$18 New York, NY 10004 Fest \$48-252-2063

As the right Line passes over the block between West 17th and West 18th Streats, there are a series of triangular projections on the viaduot's west side as it curves from its location across Tenth Avenue to meet its northward trajectory (see Figure 3). A staircase leads from the ground to the rail level on the viaduct's west side close to West 17th Streat.

From West 18th Street to West 29th Street, the High Line runs in a relatively linear path over small infill buildings, parking lots, and junk yards (see Figures 4 through 14). It widens between West 22nd and West 23rd Streets, and there is a private siding to the former Speer & Company furniture warehouse at 511-525 West 22nd Street, which was built in 1921 and is also located at 524-532 West 23rd Street. The small 2-story building at 507 West 24th Street has a smell loading shed that abute the High Line and is indicated on a Sanborn Fire insurance Map from 1951 (see view 14 of Figure 8). The former R.C. Williams & Company grocery warehouse, built in 1927 and located at 259-273 Tenth Avenue (at West 28th Street), also has a private elevated loading platform. The New York Central Railroad made its first delivery from the elevated track to the R.C. Williams & Company warehouse in 1933 (see view 15 of Figure 9).

At West 20th Street, the High Line begins to curve west to run along the north side of West 30th Street foward Twelfith Avenue, forming a loop track around the former 30th Street Freight Yerd (now the Long Island Rail Road Caemmerer Yards). At West 30th Street, a sour runs east to Tenth Avenue, where there is a large, double-track platform over the avenue adjacent to the United States Postal Service Morgan General Mail Facility that was built in 1933 and occupies the block between West 30th and West 31st Streets, and Tenth and Ninth Avenues (see Figures 15 through 17). The platform over Tenth Avenue originally connected to the Morgan General Mail Facility to allow mail trains to simultaneously enter and leave the building.

Along the north side of West 30th Street between Tenth and Eleventh Avenues, both the sestivard spur and the loop track viaduct run above a connected series of 1-story brick warehouses built in 1938 for the Metal Purchasing Company (see view 33 of Figure 18 and view 35 of Figure 19). The loop track viaduct crosses over Eleventh Avenue and then curves northward as it reaches Twelfth Avenue (see Figures 19 through 21). It then runs north, crossing over West 33rd Street, at which point it declines on a ramp that curves eastward to parallel West 34th Street (see Figures 22 and 23). The rall line eventually runs at-grade on an embankment and then proceeds below-grade to Eleventh Avenue, which it passes under to connect to a north-bound rall cut—that runs between Eleventh and Tenth Avenues—that originally ran to the New York Central Railroad 60th Street Yard and that now serves as the Amitrak Emplies Line. As discussed below, the northernmost section of the viaduct was reconstructed in the early 1980s.

Between West 16th and West 33rd Streets, the High Line has an identical appearance to the southern portion that runs south of West 18th Street through the Cansevoort Market area. Where the High Line crosses over streets, the steel treaties have a decorative appearance. Above the treatie structure, there are parapets ornamented with recessed panels and decorative riveting. Railings on the parapets contain penels with railed diamond and square patterns. The railings are also ornamented with decorative riveting. Exceptions to the treatie form are found over Eleventh Avenus, where the wide steel treatie is purely structural without an ornamental parapet or railing, and over West 33rd Street where the treatie is similar to the one over Eleventh Avenus, in general, the treaties over the narrow side streets do not place stanchions in the sidewalks. In those locations, the steel stanchions negreet the street are located just within the lot lines. Over wide presenge—over Terrih Avenus between West 16th and West 17th Streets, over West 23rd Street, over Terrih Avenus at West 30th Street, and over West 30th



